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Dubois, Dominique,2005, To evaluate the costs and effectiveness of on-demand maintenance therapy with oral esomeprazole, lansoprazole, omeprazole, pantoprazole or rabeprazole in patients with endoscopy-confirmed non-erosive reflux disease (NERD) in the UK. Methods: A probabilistic model was developed to compare the costs and effectiveness of five proton pump inhibitors (PPIs) in endoscopy-negative, symptomatic NERD patients who had complete resolution of heartburn symptoms following 4 weeks of open-label acute PPI treatment. The total annual expected costs (euro, 2003 values) and utilities gained per patient were measured over a 1-year horizon from the perspective of the UK NHS. Model uncertainty was addressed by sensitivity analyses. Results: The base-case annual median costs and utilities gained with on-demand PPI therapy were: euro123 and 0.89 for rabeprazole 10mg; euro176 and 0.90 for pantoprazole 20mg; euro190 and 0.89 for esomeprazole 20mg; euro195 and 0.91 for lansoprazole 15mg; euro201 and 0.90 for omeprazole 20mg; and euro210 and 0.91 for omeprazole 10mg. Differences in costs, but not in outcomes, were statistically significant. The results were robust to sensitivity analyses. Conclusions: In this analysis, on-demand use of rabeprazole for the management of NERD incurred the least cost in comparison with the other PPIs evaluated. Utility gains were comparable for all on-demand PPIs. The place of on-demand PPIs in therapy, however, requires further evaluation.
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Revicki, Dennis A,2003, Gastro-oesophageal reflux disease (GORD) is common in the general population and is diagnosed based on patient-reported symptoms and clinical tests. Although clinical tests are available, significant percentages of patients report symptoms of heartburn and reflux despite negative endoscopies, and 24-hour pH tests are not often used by primary-care physicians in diagnosis. Consequently, patient-reported symptoms and health-related QOL (HR-QOL) are important in assessing treatment outcome. HR-QOL is significantly impaired in patients with GORD, and HR-QOL is associated with symptom severity and changes in GORD-related symptoms. The objective of this literature review is to examine the impact of pharmacological treatment on HR-QOL in patients with GORD. Generic and disease-specific HR-QOL measures have been used in clinical trials to evaluate the impact of GORD on patient functioning and well-being. The Psychological General Well-Being (PGWB) Index and the 36-Item Short-Form Health Survey (SF-36) have been used in several clinical trials of treatment for GORD and have consistently shown that HR-QOL improves with successful therapy. These trials have been conducted primarily with two pharmacological agents, omeprazole and ranitidine. On the Heartburn-specific Quality of Life questionnaire, patients treated with ranitidine reported better HR-QOL after treatment compared with placebo therapy. In two clinical trials where omeprazole and ranitidine were compared, patients treated with omeprazole reported significantly better HR-QOL (based on the PGWB Index) than those treated with ranitidine; however, 2 other trials did not detect significant differences between the treatments. Results from clinical trials using disease-specific measures (Gastrointestinal Quality of Life Index [GIQLI] and Heartburn-specific Quality of Life questionnaire) demonstrate similar findings, supporting the association between treatment-related symptom resolution and improvements in HR-QOL. The GIQLI was used in a trial comparing pantoprazole and ranitidine, where results favoured pantoprazole therapy. Several studies have demonstrated that resolution of GORD symptoms is associated with improvement in HR-QOL. Although there is evidence that treatment for GORD does improve symptoms and HR-QOL outcomes, further research is needed to more completely understand the value of medical therapy for GORD.